Ethics: Anger Management and Domestic Violence

Presented by:
Ronald Potter-Efron, M.SW, Ph.D.
Geezer and Crone LLC
Eleva, WI
pttrefrn@triwest.net

This presentation is a condensation of a 6 hour seminar: Ethical Concerns and Moral Neuroscience Considerations in Anger Management and Domestic Violence Counseling.

copyright@2018 R. Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Definitions

Ethics: a discipline dealing with what is good and bad and with moral duty and obligation; a set of moral principles.

Morals: One's particular values concerning what is right and wrong.

Negative ethical principles: prohibitions: "Thou shalt not..."

Positive ethical principles: aspirational: "Thou shall strive to..."

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Three Spheres of Morality

Moral Belief: "What do I believe in? What moral principles guide me?"

Moral Judgement: "Is this good/right or bad/wrong?"

Moral Action: "What could I do? What have I done?"

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

3

Practicality, Legality, Ethics and Wisdom

Practicality: What is the *smart* thing to do?

Legality: What is the lawful thing to do?

Ethics: What is the right thing to do?

Integrated model: What is the wise thing to do?

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Special Ethical Concerns in Anger Management and Domestic Violence Counseling

Overlapping Questions

- 1) Question: who is our client?
- 2) How can we reconcile therapeutic treatment with participation in the criminal justice system?
- 3) How much are we willing to be agents of social control over people?

copyright@2018 R. Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

.

Who is Our Client? (NASW Code of Ethics)

"Social workers are cognizant of their dual responsibility to clients' interests and the broader society's interest..."

"However, ...responsibility to the larger society or specific legal obligations may on limited occasions supersede the loyalty owed clients, and clients should be so advised."

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

How "Voluntary" are Voluntary Clients?

"Nobody comes to anger management or d.v. treatment without a shotgun at their back."

Courts.

Spouse/romantic partner/family.

Work/school.

Church.

It is important to quickly ascertain a client's "pressure points."

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

-

The Involuntary Client (NAMA Code of Ethics)

"In instances when clients are receiving services involuntarily, anger management professionals should provide information about the nature and extent of services and about the clients' right to refuse service."

[Italics added]

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Protecting the Client (NAMA Code of Ethics)

"When a court of law or other legally authorized body orders anger management professionals to disclose confidential or privileged information without a client's consent and such disclosure would cause harm to the client, anger management professionals should request that the court withdraw or limit the order as narrowly as possible or maintain the records under seal, unavailable for public inspection."

[Italics added]

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

.

How Counselors Avoid taking Ethical Responsibility

- Deception: What might bring me to lie to a client or others?
- Causing harm: How could my effort to help someone ultimately hurt That individual or others?
- Greed: How do I balance my need to make a living with treating all who need help?
- Neglect: What do I avoid thinking about because I would feel moral discomfort? What could I do for clients that I don't?

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Has This Happened to You?

"There is a lot of pressure to pretty much provide an inaccurate statement on a glossy shiny paper" [to say someone has made progress when they have merely attended anger management group sessions].

If I provide this document is it an example of: Deception? Causing harm? Greed? Neglect?

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

11

Five Important Schools of Moral Reasoning

- 1) Deontology. First do no harm.
- 2) Utilitarianism. Do the best thing for the most people.
- 3) The Ethics of Care. Relationship creates responsibility.

- 4) Natural Law. Honor universal truths.
- 5) Virtue Ethics. Do the right thing.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Five Schools/Five "Languages."

IF:

You think in one moral language.

and

Your client speaks in another moral language.

then

You won't make sense to each other.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

13

Deontological Moral Thinking

Deontology: The theory of duty ("deon" is Greek word for "duty.")

Immanual Kant: best known advocate.

Focus: duties, obligations, rights.

Intent, not consequences, of action is a person's responsibility.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Deontology (3)

The Categorical Imperative: Version One.

Act only on the maxim that you can will as a universal law.

[R P-E: Seek and apply universal truths and allow no exceptions].

The Categorical Imperative: Version Two.

Always treat humanity, whether in your own person or that of another, never simply as a means [to an end] but always as an end [in itself].

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

15

Two Critical Deontological Questions

How/when/where/why do we treat our anger management and/or domestic violence clients as means to an end rather than as an end in themselves?

How can we treat our anger management and domestic violence clients as ends in themselves?

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Utilitarian (Consequentialist) Moral Thinking Identified with: Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill.

The principle of utility:

"Actions are right in proportion as they tend to promote happiness, wrong as they tend to produce the reverse of happiness."

Goal: to produce the greatest sum total of happiness for the greatest number of people.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

17

Consequentialism(2)

Intent matters, but results (consequences) are what count most.

Self and family theoretically no more important than others.

When goals/values conflict you must try to calculate the best long-term consequences for all – what promotes the most good for the most people.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Justification for Mandated Counseling

Consequential argument:

Not "This is for your own good."

But "This is for the good of all (including you)."

Here the common good trumps autonomy.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

19

Feminist Theory and Therapy

"...man (in contrast to woman) has continually turned away from his inner self and feeling in pursuit of both science and ethics. With respect to strict science, this turning outward may be defensible. With respect to ethics it has been disastrous."

Nel Noddings.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Feminism Definition

"An intellectual commitment and a political movement that seeks justice for women and the end of sexism in all forms"

From Mackinnon, B. and Fiala, A. (2015). Ethics (8th Edition), p. 175.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

21

Two Divisions of Feminist Theory

- 1) The ethics of care.
- 2) The ethics of empowerment.

Integration of these perspectives: Feminist Therapy.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

The Ethics of Care

Primary moral obligations:

Prevent harm.

Help people thrive.

"Heinz" reinterpretation: "I'd go talk with the druggist."

Justice vs. care.

The relationship is figural.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

23

Ethics of care (cont.)

Nel Noddings: The mother's voice has been silent in ethics.

Three keys:

Receptivity.

Responsiveness.

Relatedness.

Much care is unchosen (e.g., care of elderly parents) but interconnections don't mean loss of autonomy.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Contrast with Traditional (Patriarchal) Ethics

<u>Traditional</u> <u>Feminist</u>

Autonomy prized Relatedness prized

Abstract Concrete

Universal/invariant laws Situational/personal

Fairness as standard of behavior Compassion as standard

Justice Care

Rights Responsibilities

Contractual relationships Natural relationships.

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

2.5

"The Police are Coming" Quandary

Options:

Say nothing.

Tell Joe.

Tell the group.

Cancel group.

Total refusal to "co-operate."

Other: _____

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

The Police are Coming: Deontology Considerations

- 1) Duty: Do you have a duty in this situation to support the police?
 - Do you have a duty to contest the police action?
 - Do you have a duty to protect your group?
 - Do you have a duty to protect your organization?
- 2) Does any invariant, universal law apply in this situation?
- 3) How can you treat your client as an end in himself in this situation as against a means to an end?

copyright@2018 R. Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

27

The Police are Coming: Consequentialism Considerations.

- 1) What immediate good or harm might come from your choice?
- 2) What good or harm might come from your action in the longer term?
- 3) Is there an action that you could take that would maximize the benefit to society while minimizing the damage to this individual and the group?

copyright@2018 R. Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Police are Coming: Feminine Therapy Considerations

- 1) Does Joe's statement that he really trusts the group create a particular responsibility or obligation to you?
- 2) How can you best preserve the group's trust in you in this difficult situation?

copyright@2018 R. Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

29

The Police are Coming: Small Group Discussion.

Please share:

Your first gut feelings.

Thoughts regarding general duties that might apply.

Thoughts regarding maximizing the good/minimizing the bad in this situation.

Thoughts about the specific issue of trust maintenance with this person and group.

Most important: WHAT WILL YOU DO?

copyright©2018 R. Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

How do People Actually Make Moral Decisions?

Intuitionist Model.

Dual Process Model.

copyright@2018 R. Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

31

How Do People Actually Make Moral Decisions? The Intuitionist Model

Moral judgements:

- appear suddenly and effortlessly.
- are the result of an emotional, intuitive process.
- and then are justified cognitively after the fact.
- but really they are just obvious. (exp.: "Torturing others is wrong.").

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

Making Moral Decisions? Dual Process Model

Camera Analogy: Point and Shoot vs. Manual Mode.

Point and Shoot: Manual Mode:

a) automatic personally adjusted

b) unconscious conscious

c) fast slow

d) standardized responses to non-standardized to unusual

routine situations situations

e) VMPFC utilized DLPFC utilized

f) efficient but inflexible inefficient but flexible

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net

33

Review: Practicality, Legality, Ethics and

Wisdom

Practicality: What is the *smart* thing to do?

Legality: What is the lawful thing to do?

Ethics: What is the right thing to do?

Integrated model: What is the wise thing to do?

copyright 2017 Ronald Potter-Efron pttrefrn@triwest.net